

PO Box 200701 Helena, MT 59620-0701 (406) 444-9939

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

PART I. PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR ACTION

1. Project Title: Polson Shooters Association (PSA)

2. Type of Proposed Action:

- Connect power to the range
- Construct wall between handgun and rifle ranges
- Construct concrete pads, benches and covers
- Add stairs from car park

3. Location Affected by Proposed Action:

The Polson Shooters Association leases their property from Lake County. The range is about 10 acres and was formerly known as the Lake County Rifle Range, located on Kerr Dam Road, southwest of Polson, MT.



Map 1 - Polson Shooting Association Southwest of Polson, MT.



Figure 1 – Planned improvements to the range

4. Agency Authority for the Proposed Action: MCA 87-1-276 through 87-1-279 (Legislative established policies and procedures for the establishment and improvement of shooting ranges) and MCA 87-2-105 (Departmental authority to expend funds to provide training in the safe handling and use of firearms and safe hunting practices). The Montana Legislature has authorized funding for the establishment of a Shooting Range Development Program providing financial assistance for the development of shooting ranges. Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks (FWP) has responsibility for the administration of the program, including the necessary guidelines and procedures governing applications for funding assistance under the program.

To be eligible for grant assistance, a private shooting club or a private organization:

(a)(i)shall accept in its membership any person who holds or is eligible to hold a Montana hunting license and who pays club or organization membership fees;

(ii)may not limit the number of members;

(iii)may charge a membership fee not greater than the per-member share of the club's or organization's reasonable cost of provision of services, including establishment, improvement, and maintenance of shooting facilities and other membership services; and

(iv)shall offer members occasional guest privileges at no cost to the member or invited guest and shall make a

reasonable effort to hold a public sight-in day each September, when the general public may use the shooting range for a day-use fee or at no cost; or

(b) shall admit the general public for a reasonable day-use fee.

5. Need for the Action(s):

The range is currently without power and needs it to expand and improve its operations. There is a need for additional handgun lanes with a safe separation between handgun and rifle shooting. The range needs a covered shooting line with concrete slabs under the shooting benches, to improve the shooting environment and further accommodate handicapped shooters. Stairs are need from the parking area to access the range.

6. Objectives for the Action(s):

Make range improvements and expansion by: bringing power to the range, expanding the pistol range with an additional safety wall, enhance rifle shooting with a concrete slab and a cover over the firing line, and providing access stairs from the parking area to the range.

7. Project Size: estimate the number of acres that would be directly affected:

The proposed improvements are a much small area than the total acreage and will be safely spread within the leased properties (see figure 1).

8. Affected Environment (A brief description of the affected area of the proposed project):

The site for the range was formerly the Lake County Rifle Range, which had been neglected, taken back to the county and ultimately leased to the Polson Shooters Association. The range area was formerly grazing land, which is still the dominant land usage in the surrounding area. The property immediately to the north on the other side of the road is tribal lands. There are no surface waters or delineated wetlands within the lease area. Mostly dry land with prairie grasses.

9. Description of Project: (See figure 1)

• Connect Power to the range

Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks

- Construct wall between handgun & rifle ranges
- Pour concrete pads under shooting benches
- Construct cover over the shooting line
- Add stairs from the parking area to the range proper.

10. List any Other Local, State, or Federal Agency that has Overlapping or Additional Jurisdiction: None

(a) Permits, Licenses	and/or Authorizations:	
Agency Name	<u>Permit</u>	Date Filed/#
N/A		
Funding:		
Agency Name	F	unding Amount
Agency Haille	<u>r</u>	unuing Amount

\$20,150.00

- **11. Affiliations, Cooperating Agencies, User Groups and/or Supporting Groups:** The organization has approximately 165 members with anticipated growth in both membership and affiliates. The range offers public shooting approximately three days a week. The range is currently used by USMC, 4H, Lake County Sheriff's Department, and Hunter's Education. In the past the range supported about 125 shooter days per year.
- **12. History of the Planning and Scoping Process, and Any Public Involvement:** Proposed range improvements proposals have been discussed within the membership of the club and with the associated project vendors, contractors, and the Lake County Commission.

13. List of Agencies Consulted/Contacted During Preparation of the EA:

Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks

14. Names, Address and Phone Number of Project Sponsor:

Mauri Morin, 42456 Canal Rd., Ronan, MT 59864 (406) 249-2250

15. Other Pertinent Information:

Shooting range applications require the participant's governing body to approve by resolution its submission of applications for shooting range-funding assistance. Resolution Date: <u>April 29, 2011.</u>

PART II. IDENTIFICATION OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVES

The proposed alternative A, alternative B and the no action alternative were considered.

- Alternative A (Proposed Alternative) is as described in Part I, paragraph 9 (Description of Project). Install power, construct safety wall, pour concrete shooting pads, cover the rifle firing line and erect access stairs.
- Alternative B (No Action Alternative) Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks Shooting Range Development Grant would be denied and area will remain as an active shooting range without improvements proposed.

Description and analysis of reasonable alternatives (including the no action alternative) to the proposed action whenever alternatives are reasonably available and prudent to consider and a discussion of how the alternatives would be implemented: Only the proposed alternative and the no action alternative were considered. There were no other alternatives that were deemed reasonably available, nor prudent. Neither the proposed alternative nor the no action alternative would have significant negative environmental or potentially negative consequences.

There are beneficial consequences to acceptance of the **Proposed Alternative (A)** Install power, construct safety wall, pour concrete shooting pads, cover the rifle firing line and erect access stairs.

The **No Action Alternative** (B) would be to not fund the improvements and the range will continue on with present conditions. Land use would remain the same.

Describe any Alternatives considered and eliminated from Detailed Study: $\ensuremath{\mathsf{NONE}}$

List and explain proposed mitigating measures (stipulations): None

PART III. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Abbreviated Checklist – The degree and intensity determines extent of Environmental Review. An abbreviated checklist may be used for those projects that are not complex, controversial, or are not in environmental sensitive areas.

Table 1. Potential impact on physical environment.

Will the proposed action result in potential impacts to:	Unknown	Potentially Significant	Minor	None	Can Be Mitigated	Comments Below
1. Unique, endangered, fragile, or limited environmental resources				X		
2. Terrestrial or aquatic life and/or habitats				X		#2
3. Introduction of new species into an area				X		
4. Vegetation cover, quantity & quality				X		
5. Water quality, quantity & distribution (surface or groundwater)				X		#5
6. Existing water right or reservation				X		
7. Geology & soil quality, stability & moisture				X		
8. Air quality or objectionable odors				X		
9. Historical & archaeological sites				X		#9
10. Demands on environmental resources of land, water, air & energy				X		
11. Aesthetics				X		

<u>Comments</u> (A description of potentially significant, or unknown, impacts and potential alternatives for mitigation must be provided.)

9. This project uses no federal funds nor does it take place on state owned or controlled property; therefore, the Federal 106 Regulations and the State Antiques Act do not apply.

^{2. &}amp; 5. There are neither surface waters nor delineated wetlands on the leased property.

Table 2. Potential impacts on human environment.

Will the proposed action result in potential impacts to:	Unknown	Potentially Significant	Minor	None	Can Be Mitigated	Comments Below
1. Social structures and cultural diversity				X		
2. Changes in existing public benefits provided by wildlife populations and/or habitat				X		
3. Local and state tax base and tax revenue				X		
4. Agricultural production				X		
5. Human health				X		
6. Quantity & distribution of community & personal income				X		
7. Access to & quality of recreational activities				X		
8. Locally adopted environmental plans & goals (ordinances)				X		
9. Distribution & density of population and housing				X		
10. Demands for government services				X		
11. Industrial and/or commercial activity				X		

<u>Comments</u> (A description of potentially significant, or unknown, impacts and potential alternatives for mitigation must be provided.)

PART IV. NARRATIVE EVALUATION AND COMMENT

All of the pertinent or potential impacts of the project have been reviewed, discussed, and analyzed. None of the project reviewed were complex, controversial, or located in an environmentally sensitive area. The projects being implemented are already on an existing range/altered areas that together with the insignificant environmental effects of the proposed action, indicates that this should be considered the final version of the environmental assessment. There are no significant environmental or economic impacts associated with the proposed alternative. The Polson Shooters Association's proposed alternative, to provide a safe regulated shooting opportunity is supported by its members and the public. Therefore, Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks should approve the proposed alternative (A) for the improvements as outlined in Part I, Para. 9.

PART V. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

Does the proposed action involve potential risks or adverse effects, which are uncertain but extremely harmful if they were to occur? NO

Does the proposed action have impacts that are individually minor, but cumulatively significant or potentially significant? This proposed action has no impacts that are individually minor, but cumulatively significant or potentially significant. Cumulative impacts have been assessed considering any incremental impact of the proposed action when they are combined with other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions, and no significant impacts or substantially controversial issues were found. There are no extreme hazards created with this project and there are no conflicts with the substantive requirements of any local, state, or federal law, regulation, standard or formal plan.

Recommendation and justification concerning preparation of EIS:

There are no significant environmental or economic impacts associated with the proposed alternative; therefore an EIS is not required.

PART VI. EA CONCLUSION SECTION

Individuals or groups contributing to, or commenting on, this EA:

Mauri Morin, 42456 Canal Rd., Ronan, MT 59864 MT Fish Wildlife and Parks

EA prepared by: GENE R. HICKMAN

MS Wildlife Management Ecological Assessments Helena, MT 59602

Date Completed: June 26, 2011

Describe public involvement, if any:

This draft EA will be advertised on FWP's web site and through a legal ad in the Valley Journal announcing a public comment period. A press release will also announce the project and comment period that will close at 5:00 PM on August 5, 2011.